Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,435	HUYNH-BA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	LaToya C. Younger	1743	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After-Final</u>		
(1) <u>LaToya C. Younger</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Leland Jordan</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 December 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:			
Rejection(s) discussed.			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Attorney Jordan was notified of the issuance of an advisory 2005.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a value ate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representative	a Signatura if appropriate)	
(Examinerate Signature) (Appli	cantrapplicant's Representative	e Signature – il appropriate)	